UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,236	07/24/2003	Michael Joseph Cassani	0333	1278
26868 HASSE & NES	7590 07/06/200 SBITT LLC	EXAMINER		
8837 CHAPEL	SQUARE DRIVE	POINVIL, FRANTZY		
SUITE C CINCINNATI, OH 45249			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
on von vivin,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			CASSANI ET AL.			
		10/626,236				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Frantzy Poinvil	3692			
Period fo		·				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. To SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma I will apply and will expire SIX (6) it te, cause the application to becom	JNICATION.  by a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  be ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	<i>luly 2003</i> .				
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.				
ŕ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	nts have been received.				
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>					
	application from the International Burea		sen received in this reduction stage			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		not received.			
Attachme	nt(s)					
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/05 & 8/10/04.	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shade et al. (US Patent No. 6,519,573 in view of applicant's admitted prior art and Hartt et al. (WO 94/04979).

As per claims 1-22, Shade et al disclose a system and method for an individual to access a host web site, select a charitable organization to make a donation. The host system then transmits the charitable gift to the gift recipient. See the abstract. Shade et al do not explicitly state the charitable gift being a plurality of securities. Providing different types of securities for charitable purposes is old and well known in the art. The applicant's admitted prior art exemplifies this well-known type of charities. These types of charities use securities such as mutual funds, open-end funds, closed-end funds and other securities that are publicly listed and traded. See the applicant's admitted prior art or "Background of the Invention" section of the instant application. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to also introduce different of types of mutual funds in the system of Shade et al in order to make the system more flexible by accepting a wide range of the different types of donations. Mutual funds or securities are usually managed by a fund manager, and the funds

Art Unit: 3692

usually have an investment objective of investing based upon socially responsible criteria. See the applicant admitted prior art. The donor designates a contribution amount to his/her selected organization. The combination of Shade et al and the applicant's admitted prior art does not explicitly state "accumulating the contribution amounts for a designated period of time and distributing the accumulated contribution amounts to the designated charities.

Systems and methods for accumulating funds until an accumulated amount is reached for a later transferring of the accumulated funds to an account or entity is well practiced in the art. Hart et al disclose a system and method for allowing funds to be accumulated in an escrow account and then transferred to another account until it is reached a predetermined level. Applicant is directed to page 3, line 29 to page 4, line 13 of Hartt et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Hart et al in the combination of Shade et al and the applicant's admitted prior art in order to allow a donor to make a monthly or weekly small donation that will not have a great financial burden on the donor.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (571) 272-6797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,236 Page 4

Art Unit: 3692

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Frantzy Poinvil
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3692

FP

June 23, 2007